UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/766,697	01/27/2004	Robert Frederick	120137.481	2064	
	7590 03/11/200 ECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER			
701 FIFTH AV		SHAH, AMEE A			
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA	x 98104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/766,697	FREDERICK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Amee A. Shah	3625		

		Affice A. Shafi	3023	
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FI	ED <u>13 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply application application 	was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on n, applicant must timely file one of the following n in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🔲 The p	eriod for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
no eve	eriod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A ent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONT Extensions of time have been filed is under 37 CFR 1.1 set forth in (b) abo	ner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (index of the FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(index of the date of the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the store, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later partned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). PPEAL	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the N	e of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS		, p		
3. The prop (a) The (b) The	osed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to y raise new issues that would require further cory y raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloy are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
(d) The	eal; and/or y present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amei 5. Applican	TE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). Indments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 It is reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:		•
	oposed or amended claim(s) would be all	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purpo how the n The status Claim(s) a Claim(s) c Claim(s) r	able claim(s). ses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I ew or amended claims would be rejected is prov s of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: illowed: bjected to: ejected: vithdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
` '	OTHER EVIDENCE			
because a	vit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu applicant failed to provide a showing of good and arlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered be	vit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ecause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
	avit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
	RECONSIDERATION/OTHER he request for reconsideration has been conside :	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for a	allowance
argumer office ac to the da	t's arguments regarding diligence have been ful hts made in remarks filed Nov. 5, 2007, which the tion mailed Dec. 13, 2007. Again, applicant has hte of reduction to practice of the Hazzard refere	e examiner considered, found not possible to some some some some some some some som	persuasive, and respo establish diligence fro	nded to in the om a date prior
	attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. 🔲 Other: _				

/Yogesh C Garg/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080227